

Quality Enhancement Framework version 1.0

- Disappointment that research was not included in the first round (2010)
- Expectations by the Rector's conference (2014) that research will be included, and welcomed, in the next round
- Action points (1.4 and 4.1) in the Science and technology policy council strategic plan 2014 - 2016





"Quality assurance policies are most effective when they reflect the relationship between research and learning & teaching and take account of both the national context in which the institution operates, the institutional context and its strategic approach."

- Focus on the link between education and research
- Universities do serve a specific role within modern societies













- Frascati Manual is 255 pages! on how to report and monitor R&D activities (and is currently undergoing its 7th revision)
- Big differences between subject areas and field of study
 Natural Science vs. Social Sciences
 Basic research vs. Empirical research
- Quality Enhancement system is NOT a ranking system
 It is a framework to improve quality within a subject/division/institution
 It is all about how HEI can improve within itself and can be trusted to do so continuously
- It is therefore essential that the mission and vision of each HEI from a research and teaching
 perspective is clear and transparent and that the mission is achieved by dialogue with the funding
 agency (in tealand = Government)
- Such framework for the system gives clear objectives and goals to measure quality and performance

ENQA – Standards and guidelines (2015) "Quality assurance policies are most effective when they reflect the relationship between research and learning & teaching and take account of both the national context in which the institution operates, the institutional context and its strategic approach."

